

METEORITICS & PLANETARY SCIENCE

REVIEW

Manuscript: _____

Author(s): _____

Please, answer each question. Detailed comments should be made on a separate sheet. Minor comments may be made on the manuscript.

1. Do you recommend this paper for publication in **METEORITICS & PLANETARY SCIENCE** from the standpoints of originality and importance?

YES, without significant change. YES, but it needs:

Rewriting: Minor Moderate Major

Reorganization: Minor Moderate Major

Data Improvement: Minor Moderate Major

NO. This paper is of poor quality

NO. This paper does not fit in MAPS

2. Please, rate the quality of this paper in the following categories:

Prose/clarity: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor

Interpretation: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor

3. Is the abstract a concise and adequate summary of the paper?

YES NO

4. Is proper credit given to related work? YES NO

5. Can the paper be improved by condensation or deletion of:

Text YES NO (If YES, specify when writing detailed comments)

Tables YES NO Eliminate Nos. _____

Figures YES NO Eliminate Nos. _____

6. Do you suspect that any mentioned meteorite(s) have names that have not been approved by the Nomenclature Committee? If so, please give the name(s): _____

7. Would you review a revised version if requested? YES NO

8. Do you wish to be identified as the author of this review? YES NO

Signature

Date