

Fleas on Fleas on Fleas

Review Form

About **Fleas on Fleas on Fleas**: *The mandate of this journal is to archive high-quality investigative reports of nanoworld (and other extreme physics) exploration using web-based simulators for a variety of virtual and real objects, as well as to give students experience with empirical observation reporting and peer review.*

Manuscript: _____

Author(s): _____

Please, answer each question. Detailed comments should be made on a separate sheet. Minor comments may be made on the manuscript.

1. Do you recommend this report for publication in **Fleas on Fleas on Fleas** based on originality and importance?

_____ YES without significant change. _____ YES, but it needs:

Rewriting: _____ Minor _____ Moderate _____ Major

Reorganization: _____ Minor _____ Moderate _____ Major

Data Improvement: _____ Minor _____ Moderate _____ Major

_____ NO. This paper is of poor quality. _____ NO. This paper does not fit.

2. Please, rate the quality of this report in these areas using the scale below:

Work Log - Storyline: _____

Work Log - Detail: _____

Intro - Informative: _____

Method - Usable: _____

Data - Testable: _____

Interpretation - Clear/Useful: _____

Uncertainties - Realistic: _____

Delivery - Clear to Many: _____

Excellent = 5, Good = 4, Minimal = 3, Inadequate = 0-2.

For more cf. <http://newton.umsl.edu/~philf/rubrics.html#webquest>

3. Is proper credit given to related work? _____ YES _____ NO

4. Can the paper be improved by condensation or deletion of:

Text _____ YES _____ NO (If YES, specify when writing detailed comments)

Tables _____ YES _____ NO Eliminate Nos. _____

Figures _____ YES _____ NO Eliminate Nos. _____

5. Would you review a revised version if requested? _____ YES _____ NO

Signature

Date

Note: Adapted from the review form for the journal *Meteoritics and Planetary Science*